RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 9 5 2005



CONFIDENTIAL FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION SHEET

DATE:

October 5, 2005

TO:

Examiner Carrillo Sharidan Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FAX:

571-273-8300

FROM:

Joseph Kincart

Johnson & Johnson

One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003

PHONE:

(904-443-3731)

FAX #:

(904-443-3078)

Re:

US Serial No. 10/692088

Our Reference: VTN5003 USA NP

Total Pages Sent: (inc

(including this cover sheet)

Please call Linda Long (904-443-1159) If you have not received all of the pages in this transmission. Thank you.

This facsimile transmission cover sheet and any documents which may accompany it, contains information from Vistakon®, Division of Johnson & Johnson Vision Care, Inc., which is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and which may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended nicipient, any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this communication or its substance is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please call us collect to arrange for the destruction of the communication or its return to us at our expense.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES PEPARTMENTO F COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trad-mark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR F V ENTS
FO. Ros 1450
Administration of the Comments of the Comments

Land to the control of the special and the state of the control of

			encinate Cov
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	
10/692,088	10/23/2003	Joe M. Wood	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
27777 75	90 04/05/2005	ж. m, ч 000	VTN-S003-LE002-NTV
PHILIP S. JOH	INSON .		EXAMINER
ONE IOTINGON & 10	OHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA		CARRILI.O, DIBI SHUFIDAN
NEW BRUNSW	VICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT I APER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

APR 0 7 2005

J&J PAT. DKT. SECTION

U.S. Paters and Trademark Clinice PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

		C#C	,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/692,088	WOOD ET AL	
- Today Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
76. AMULINO BUTTO	Carrillo Sharidan	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Faiture to reply within the sel or extended period for reply will, by stal Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a re epty within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered un ely TIS from the mailing date of it is, or	r. ummunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) To 3) Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is con-final		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	France except for formal mane	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
Disposition of Claims	L Parte Guayle, 1933 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	awn from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.		
	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	xepted or b) abjected to b	y the Examiner.	
approant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abovenc	B See 27 OFF 4 OFF.	
velocement drawing specife) including the corre	Clion is required if the demois we		R 1.121(d),
the day of decided on is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	D-152 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received. Its have been received in Aportity documents have been re-	eliantia e bra	Stage
Abbucacou non use unferremental Britis	3U (PCT Rule 17 2/5))		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper Nots/Moil Date 06072004_10232003	4) \[\] Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I) \[5] \[\] Notice of Info 6) \[\] Other: \[\]	nmary (PTO-413) Mall Date Imal Patent Application (PTC-1	152)

Part of Paper No./Mail (7:ste 03282005

7c

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1746

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for saline solutions, organic solvents, delonized water, buffered aqueous solutions, does not reasonably provide enablement for any first and second liquid. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims embrace an invention which contains any known first and second liquid, which could/can be selected from literally thousands. It does not appear to be feasible that any liquid would function for cleaning contact lens. Further, for one skilled in the art to reproduce the present invention (which must be possible, if the specification is adequate), there would clearly be undue experimentation to do so to determine which liquids work and which ones do not for cleaning of contact lenses.

Specification

 The use of the trademark Etafilcon A has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Art Unit: 1746

Page 3

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is unclear what is considered as a functional size. Additionally, the preamble recites a method of removing excess material, however the claim fails to recite a positive step of removing excess material. Claims 4-5 are indefinite because it is unclear how the first liquid can have a greater ionic strength than the second liquid if both the first and the second liquid are the same solution (i.e. buffered aqueous solution). Claim 6 is indefinite because "salt solution" lacks positive antecedent basis. Claim 19 is indefinite because of the trademark Etafilcon A. Claims 18-19 are indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by "HEMA".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

7. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Driscoll et al. (3829329).

O'Driscoll teaches a method of cleaning contact lenses. In reference to claim 1, O'Driscoll teaches swelling the contact lens with a 0.9% NaCl solution followed by shrinking with hydrogen peroxide (col. 9, lines 53-58). In reference to claims 2-7, refer to Fig. 3. In reference to claim 9, refer to col. 10, lines 24-33. In reference to claim 11, refer to col. 1, lines 30-33. In reference to claim 12, Fig. 3 teaches osmotic swe ling for 4 hours. In reference to claim 13, Fig. 3 teaches 200F, which is equivalent to 93°C. In reference to claim 18, refer to col. 5, lines 5-7, 60-63.

8. Claims 1-3, 7, and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being ant cipated by Ayyagari et al. (WO01/45868A1).

Ayyagari teaches a method of pulsed extraction of residual materials from contact lenses. Ayyagari teaches varying the concentration of the primary solvent and a co-solvent. As the amount of co-solvent increases, the lens swell. As the amount of co-solvent reduces, the lens shrink. Ayyagari teaches pulsed extraction cycle in which the co-solvent (IPA) begins at a lower first amount and then is increased to a second higher amount (swelling with 20%IPA) and then is returned to the lower first amount (shrinking with 5%IPA). During each pulse, the lens goes through one cycle of expansion and shrinkage. Claims 2-3 are inherently met as a result of increasing the concentration of IPA during the swelling step. The limitations of claim 7 are inherently met since Ayyagari teaches using an aqueous solution of IPA. In reference to claim 9, Table 1 shows varying degrees of expansion as a result of varying concentrations of IPA. In

Oct-05-05

Application/Control Number: 10/692,088

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

reference to claim 10, the limitations are inherently met since Ayyagari teaches expanding the lens to 79% (Table 1). In reference to claim 11, refer to Table 1. In reference to claim 12, refer to page 9, Fig. 3. In reference to claim 13, refer to page 8. In reference to claim 14, refer to page 11. In reference to claims 15–16, the limitations are inherently met since Ayyagari teaches that diluents need to be extracted from the contact lens and further teaches extracting in a series of steps using supercritica: fluid in combination with IPA. It is the combination of all of the extraction steps which result in the removal of diluents from the lens. Therefore, the limitations are inherently met by Ayyagari.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described he set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time time invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter perlains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 1746

Page 6

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g). prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

12. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Driscoll et al. (3829329).

O'Driscoll fails to teach the difference in ionic strength. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of O'Driscoll to include a difference in ionic strength in order to cause the swelling and shrinking of the contact lens. O'Driscoll fails to teach expanding the core diameter by at least about 1mm. However, it would have been within the level of the skilled artisan to modify the method to include increasing the diameter to 1mm since O'Driscoll teaches that the core diameter expands to 35% and the thickness expands to 23%.

13. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ayyagari et al. (WO01/45868A1).

Ayyagari fails to teach the difference in the ionic strength. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Ayyagari to include a difference in ionic strength in order to cause the swelling and shrinking of the contact lens. In reference to claim 17, Ayyagari fails to teach contact lens which are tinted. However, it would have been within the level of the skilled artisan

Art Unit: 1746

Page 7

to include tinted lenses since Ayyagari teaches that any type of lens can be used for the extraction process.

Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 14. Ayyagari et al. (WO01/45868A1) in view of Qui et al. (2004/018295).

Ayyagari fails to teach the limitations of claims 18-19. Qui et al. teaches swelling and shrinking of contact lens (paragraphs 196-197). In paragraph 102, Qui teaches it is conventional in the art to manufacture biomedical devices (such as contact lenses) with materials made of Elastofilcon. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Ayyagari to include Elastofilcon, as taught by Qui, which are used conventionally in the manufacture of contact lenses.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to **15**. applicant's disclosure. Witchterle teaches a method of manufacturing contact lensies. Ayyagari et al. teach the pulse extraction of ocular medical devices. Winterton et al. teach modifying a surface. Qui et al. teach a process of surface modification of substrates.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharidan Carrillo whose telephone number is 571-272-1297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:00a.m-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1746

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct_uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

bsc

SHARIDAN CARRILLO PRIMARY EXAMINER

SIPE JON 0 7 2004 E. VE-TOLINE.

PTOISBRA (884 & PTOISBRA (884

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1955, no persons are required to respond to a Sopstitute for form 1449AIPTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Sheet 1 of 1

	LAME SAME ASSTA IN LIGHTS
Application Number	10/692,088
Filing Date	October 23, 2() 3
First Named Inventor	Joe M. Wood ut al.
Group Art Unit	1746
Examiner Name	CARRILL
Attorney Docket Number	VTN-2003

		U.S. Patent Document			
Examiner Inhias	ND',	Kind Co Number (if know	-102-10	Date of Publication of Cited Document thri-dd-yyyy	Pages, Columbs, Lines, where relevent passages or relevant figures appear
14	e	3,841385	K.F. O'Oriscotl et al.	10-15-1974	
	<u> </u>	·			
		<u> </u>			
					<u> </u>
			•		

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Foreign Palent Document Date of Publication of Cited Document Name of Patentee or Where elevant Cha Exami Stant Spures expet. mm-dd-yyyy Applicant of Cited Document 0 480 049 A1 Hoya Corporation 10-17-1991 01/45868 A1 Bausch & Lomb Inc. 06-28-2001

				_
Excumbrox		Date 1		صحصت میں عظیر
Signagum	1 1 1		7/35/65	
-		Considered	5/ 20/ 00	
EXAMINER	Initial if mismoca considered whether or not civiling is in execution	with better one of the state of	alaman and an area	

• Unique charion designation number, a See attorted Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents, a Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3), « For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document, a Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 8 possible. « Applicant is to piece a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Gurdon Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. A.A. comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U. S. Petent and Tadde wink Officer, Washington, DC 2021. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patients, F.C., Box 1430, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

and not considered, trictude copy of this form with next communication to applicant,

_	<u> '&} </u>				4 - 4 - 4			Langers 600	
N 0 7 2004				1	Application			10/692,088	ND3
1 27	G			ŀ	Filing Date) 		October 23, 2 Joe M. Wood	
1	SZSURN	NESIA	ON UNDER MPEP 609	n H		d Inventor		300 M. Wall	Ct di
	5, 2001A	11331	DIA DIABEIL INII. CL. 603	· 1	Group Art			Canzilo	
- (DI 200-)				}		ockel Numi	105	VIN-5003	
· [Page 1 of 1	1			AG:	1111-0000	
F			U.S. P.		OCUMENTS Patent Docum			1	
į.		- 1		0.8.	PEWIN COCUM			Pages, Column	s Uned
4	Examiner	Cite	Name of Patentie or Applicant of Clad Document				Code	where relevant pa	حووحيه
Ř	Installs	No."	di Casa Document	Nun	-ther	(R.F	(האכנו	relevent figures	abbes
1									
· 1	+	一十			•——			<u> </u>	
Į.								<u> </u>	
1	1	1		_ 1		- 1		1	
i i				\neg	-				
y								ļ	
Ĭ,	1	- 1						Ì	
Į.									
				-		····		 -	
Ĭ		1						<u> </u>	
									٠
¥.				 				 -	<u> </u>
ł			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> -</u>	
		1		1				1	
		-						 	
			FOREIG		IT DOCUME				
Ì				Foreign	Patent Occorr	AANI	Pags	a, Columna, Lines, relevant passages	
0 (Examiner	Cas	Name of Patendos of	1		•	Or .	rejovány ljámus resovány bazasána	76
	inhipis	No.'	Applicant of Cited Occument	Office)	Humber	KindCode ^k		appear	Ι'
	-	- NO.		 	1		 		1
0.53									↓
	8	1		1	1	1	1		
			·	} 			1	***	
				ļ			<u> </u>		
	1		•	}	1	i	1		1
	<u> </u>	т		i —			1	•	1
	<u> </u>			 			├		+-
	1	'			1	.1	1		1
.•									1
	<u></u>			1			<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	1		OTHER PRIOR ART	NUN PATE	ETTERATI	HID OF HOUSE	NTS		_
	Examiner	C#n	include name of the author (in title of the fram (book, magazin	e. komisi	LETTERS), Leefat ever	nosjam ce pej nosjam ce pej	20. etc.) 20. etc.)	en appropriata), Lata panata)	
	's	Cite No.	Actinue-issue unaper	(a), pedalla	her, city and	or country wi	nore out	dished	
	Initia/s*								
	H		PCT International Search Report, date	od March 2	5, 2004, tor PC	7 Int. Apple.	Vo. PCT/	US03/33867	\Box
	<u> </u>	 		-					}
		1							1
									1
	B								+

PTOSBOSK (88) XII.
Approved for sea Grouph 1601/2002 Osig 655140 10.
U.S. Patinn and Trademark Office U.S. OEPARTMENT OF COLUMN 10.
DE COLUMN 10. OEPARTMENT OF COLUMN 10.
DE Under the Paperson's Reduction Act of 1995, no paragraphs are implient to respond to Substitute for form 1449APTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(DS4 at many sheets as empossary) Sheet 1 of 2

a colocion of information unless it displays	BYALLI OMB constrainum: (e.
Application Number	Nel Vet Assignid 10/692085
Filing Date	October 23, 2003
First Named Inventor	Wood et al
Group Art Unit	Not You Assigned 1746
Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned Care !!
Altorney Docket Number	VTN-5003-US:A-NP

		U.S. Potre	nt Document	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		
Examiner City Intigaty No.1		Number	Kind Code* (If leasen)	Name of Palanzee or Applicant of Clied Document	Date of Publication of Ched Occument num-dd-yyyy	Pages, Columns, Lines, where relevant passages or relevant types appear
1st		4,208,362		Deichert at al	Q8-17-1980	
1		4,834,449		.lanking	\$1-06-1087	
1		4,688,240		Losnook	þ5-26-1987	
	<u> </u>	4.733.959		Clausson et af	\$3-29-1988	
_\	<u> </u>	4,751,059		Yrvong et al	98-2-1988	
·		4,777,584		Johnson	10-18-1988	
		4,811,682		Sterman	3-14-1589	
		4,865,439		Nede	8-12-1989	
		4,883,440		Heefa	9-12-1989	
		4,903,052		Neete	2-20-1990	
		4,963,159		Nardany et al	10-18-1990	
		4,978,481		Jameson et al	12-15-1990	
		8,080,839		KindhLarsen	1-14-1992	
		5.151,108		Shoumik et al	9-29-1992	7,77
		5,271,874		Osipo et at	12-21-1983	
		5,271,575		Applicion et al	12-21-1993	
		5,451,237		Vehige	9-19-1995	
		5,466,147		Appleion et al	17-14-1995	
		5,561,970		Edio et al	10-8-1996	
		5,640,980		Koone et al	B-24-1997	<u> </u>
		5,649,410		Mortin et al	7-22-1997	
		5,690,856		Anderech (t ⊋i	17-25-1997	
		5,705,834	Y.	Edwards et al	1-13-1998	
		5,708,049		Katagiri et al	1-13-1998	-
		5,746,838		Huth	5-5-1968	
$\sqrt{}$		5,762,081		Keene et al	B-0-1998	
bGC		5,624,719		Kunzler et gi	10-20-1998	

EXAMBLES Initial Enterance considered, whether or not existion is to conformance with MPEP 809, Draw line Unrough citation if not in conformance and not considered, include copy of this form with meet communication to applicant.

I Unique citation designation number, a See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents, a Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-lefts, code (WPO Standard ST.3), 4 for Januarese patent documents, the indication of the year of the edge of the Emperor must proceed the script number of the patent document is Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WiPO Standard ST. 18 if possible, a Applicant is to place a check mark here it English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This farm is estimated to take 2.0 facus to complete, Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case, why comments on the smooth of time you are required to complete this form stands by sent to the Chief Indicated Office, U. S. Patient and Trade.httpk. Office, Washington, OC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORUS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patients, 2/1, Sent 1450, Abscarding, Va 22313-1450

S Cle 3/25/05

PTO/SBOBA ((III-0) Approved for uso Braugh 10/31/2002 CM3 (SS) (XU) U.S. Parent and Trademark Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COUNTRICE Under the Passeniarit Reduction Act of 1995, an persons are required in responsible

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Sheet 2 of 2

a conserved of proprieties marks it debbyld	HAND COMB COUNTY DESIGNATION
Application Number	Not You Assi Kind 10/6
Filing Date	October 23, 2003
First Nemed Inventor	Wood et al
Group Art Unit	Not-Yet Assisted 1746
Examinar Name	Not Yet Assigned Oxfelt
Attorney Docket Number	VTN-5003-U 3.4-NP

		U.S. Patent Docu	rueut					
Examiner Indiais	C4e Np.°		Ond Code* (12 langwrt)	Name of Palsatse or Applicant of Card Document	Outs of Publication of CRBd Document mm-dd-yyyy	Pages, C. kimns, Lines, where relating passages or relevant \$1000 sppen		
HOL		5,838,323		Keene et al	11-17-1988			
		6,012,471		Calvin et al	1-11-2000	-		
		6,071,112		Cálvin ot al	6-6-2000			
		6,196,583		Quinn et al	3-6-2001			
		6,207,085		Schlagaj et al	3-27-2001			
		6,248,266		Gardey et al	6-19-2001			
		6,348,507		Heller et ad	2-19-2002	*,		
		20010045576		Winterton ot at	11-29-2001	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		20010048975		Winterion et of	12-6-2001			
Bu		20020193559		Ford et al	12-19-2002			
				•				
								
			1					
_		***************************************	1					
	\neg		1 1		 			
			 		 			
		····	+		+			
			1					
		•	 -					

*EXAMENCE: the of the processor of the state of the continue of the state of the st

I Unique clation designation number, a See attached Winds of U.S. Patent Documents, a Enter Office that its and the document, by the two-lets of code (WIPO Standard ST.3). I For Internet patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperature patent procede the serial number of the fatient document, a Kind of document by the appropriate symbols at indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 16 if possible, a Applicant is to place a check mant here if English language Translation is attached.

Gunten Hour Statement: This form is distincted to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any connected on the appoint of this you are required to complete this from should be sent to the Crist Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Track mark 1650, Abstandria, VA 22313–1450.

1-2001)

Part of Paper No. 0::282005

Notice of References Cited